CITY OF YUBA CITY STAFF REPORT

Date:	October 21, 2014						
То:	Honorable Mayor & Members of the City Council						
From:	Public Works Department						
Presentation by:	Diana Langley, Public Works Director						
<u>Summary</u>							
Subject:	Professional Services Agreement with Larry Walker Associates for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Consulting Services						
Recommendation:	Award a professional services agreement to Larry Walker Associates of Davis, CA for consulting services associated with the administration of the City's NPDES Small MS4 Phase II Permit in the amount of \$60,000 with the finding that it is in the best interest of the City.						
Fiscal Impact:	Consultant's contract is not to exceed the total of \$60,000 including a ten percent contingency. The service will be funded through the following CIP accounts:						
	\$11,000 - Account No. 901188-65514 (General)						
	\$21,000 – Account No. 921188-65514 (Streets and Road)						
	\$14,000 – Account No. 971188-65514 (Water)						
	\$14,000 – Account No. 981188-65514 (Wastewater)						

Purpose:

To obtain consulting services associated with the administration of the 2013 NPDES MS4 Phase II General Permit issued by the California State Water Quality Control Board.

Background:

The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) is an United States Environmental Protection Agency program that receives its authority from the Clean Water Act (1977) to regulate pollutant discharge/flows into Waters of the United States. Part of the NPDES program involves the regulation and permitting of storm water discharges from municipalities with some major pollutants of concern being oil, pesticide, and sediment runoff. Storm water is defined as runoff from rain or snow that runs off surfaces such as rooftops, paved streets, highways or parking lots and can carry with it pollutants.

The regulation and permitting of storm water runoff and pollutant discharges in California was delegated to the California State Water Quality Control Board who began issuing its Phase II MS4 permits to municipalities with populations less than 100,000 in 2003 and recently issued

the current permit which took effect on July 1, 2013. The City of Yuba City currently discharges untreated storm water into two Waters of the US, the Feather River and Gilsizer Slough, and was previously permitted under the 2003/2008 Phase II permits and is currently a permitted discharger under the 2013 Phase II Permit.

Implementation of the 2013 NPDES Small MS4 Phase II General permit requirements will include, but is not limited to, the following:

- Updating relevant sections of the City's Municipal Code to provide City staff with the legal authority necessary to implement permit requirements.
- Development of improvement plans, guidance documents, reports, etc.
- Development and implementation of Water Quality Monitoring program.
- Training of City staff on new requirements and procedures
- Additional Education and Outreach to the public on storm water pollution prevention measures.
- Updated erosion control and construction/post-construction discharge prevention measures on qualifying construction projects.

In an effort to homogenize requirements and minimize costs, Yuba City Public Works and Sutter County Public Works have agreed to hire the same consultant which will split costs between the County and the City for deliverables that are the same or similar for both entities while keeping similar Storm Water pollution prevention requirements for construction projects throughout the County and City.

<u>Analysis</u>:

The Public Works Department sent out a Request for Proposals to three Engineering Consulting Firms (a list of the firms solicited is attached, Table 1) and posted the Request for Proposals on the City's website for professional services associated with the administration of the City's NPDES Small MS4 Phase II Permit.

Five Engineering firms submitted proposals:

- Condor Earth Technologies of Stockton, CA
- Environmental Compliance Specialist of Atascadero, CA
- Larry Walker Associates of Davis, CA
- NCE of Sacramento, CA
- WGR Southwest of Lodi, CA

A team of two City staff members and two Sutter County staff members reviewed the proposals for technical merit and responsiveness to the RFP. The reviews were done independently and cost was not considered during the rating process. Larry Walker Associates was unanimously selected as the top firm that would best meet the City's needs.

After ranking the consultants and considering the cost proposals from all five consulting firms (Summarized in Tables 3 & 4), staff recommends awarding a professional services agreement to Larry Walker Associates (LWA). The scope, schedule, and cost estimate of the work is

attached as Exhibit B. City staff met with the top ranked consultant (LWA) to discuss scope items and make minor scope changes which are not reflected in Exhibit B.

Fiscal Impact:

Consultant contract is not to exceed the total of \$60,000 including a ten percent contingency for this permit year. This service will be funded through CIP Account [90, 92, 97, 98]1188-65514.

Alternatives:

Reduce the scope of work or reject the Agreement and provide staff direction.

Recommendation:

Award a professional services agreement to Larry Walker Associates of Davis, CA for consulting services associated with the administration of the City's NPDES Small MS4 Phase II Permit in the amount of \$60,000 with the finding that it is in the best interest of the City.

Prepared by:

Submitted by:

/s/ Manu Dhalíwal

Manu Dhaliwal Assistant Engineer <u>/s./ Steven Kroeger</u>

Steven C. Kroeger City Manager

Reviewed by:

Department Head

Finance

<u>DL</u> RB

Table 1: RFP Mailing List

<u>Company</u>	Location
Larry Walker Associates	Davis, CA
WGR Southwest	Lodi, CA
NCE	Sacramento, CA

Table 2: Selection Criteria

Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Score
Compliance with the Request for Proposal	10
Capability and Experience	40
Past Performance	30
Reference Checks	20
Total	100

Table 3: Proposal Rankings

Company	Average Score	<u>Ranking</u>
Condor Earth	66	4
Environmental Compliance Specialist	53	5
Larry Walker Associates	81	1
NCE	71	3
WGR Southwest	77	2

Table 4: Professional Services Cost Estimate Summary

Company	Consulting Services
Condor Earth	\$88,888
Environmental Compliance Specialist	\$110,000
Larry Walker Associates	\$61,615
NCE	\$72,237
WGR Southwest	\$130,535

Exhibit B – Scope of Service / Schedule / Cost Estimate

Attachment B: Cost Proposal and Rate Sheet

The level of effort for permit year 2 is considerably higher than subsequent years because of the required Phase II Permit deliverables. LWA is prepared to work with the City and County to identify means to balance the work effort or identify ways to conform to budget constraints.

As presented the proposed scope and cost estimate are 'full-service' solutions for the City and County that assume the efforts would be joint and that City and County provide the necessary requested information. Yuba City and Sutter County Phase II Support Estimate

				In the second second second		Contract Year 2 Permit Year 3 Costs		Contract Year 3	Contract Year 4
	Scope of Work	Permit Year	Total Hours					Permit Year 4 Costs	Permit Year 5 Costs
Tasks ass	sume and show a 50:50 split for development cos	ts between t	he City a	nd Co	unty.				
Costs bey	yond the first contract year show a 2% increase. I	ligher rates	would on	ly be	charged 1	upor	approval	by City.	
Regulato	bry Update								
City	Ordinance Update & Staff Report	2	25	\$	4,270				
County	Ordinance Update & Staff Report	2	25	\$	4,270		P		
City	Enforcement Response Plan	3	15		1.11	\$	3,121		
County	Enforcement Response Plan	3	15		_	\$	3,121		
Small Si	te Stormwater Management Plan			1					
City	Scope and Draft Plan	2	86	\$	15,830		1		
County	Scope and Draft Plan	2	86	\$	15,830				
Notes:	Assumes LWA develops plan. Estimate can be lowe	ered if City/C	ounty deve	elop pl	an with re	view	/assistance	from LWA.	
Post Cor	struction Management Program	T							
City	Planning and development review	2	13	s	2,690			1 1 1	
County	Planning and development review	2	13	s	2,690	11			-
City	Post Cnst BMP O&M Verification Program	2	29	\$	4,895				_
County	Post Cnst BMP O&M Verification Program	2	29	S	4,895				
City	Condition Assessment Tools	3	31		4,075	\$	5,472		
County	Condition Assessment Tools	3	31	1.5	-	\$	5,472	1 - 1	
Notes:	Assumes LWA develops tools. Estimate can be low	ered if City/C	ounty dev	elop to	ols with r			e from LWA.	-
Total Ma	aximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) Monitoring								
City	Prepare monitoring plan	2	51	\$	8,600	1			
County	Prepare monitoring plan	2	51	\$	8,600		- 3		
City	Regional monitoring assistance	2	10	\$	2,015				
County	Regional monitoring assistance	2	10	\$	2,015				
Notes:	Approach to be determined after consultation with C	City and Cour	ty, time fo	or cons	ultation is	incl	uded.		
	Assumes preparation of one quality assurance project	ct plan (QAP	P) docume	ent that	t acts as sa	mpli	ing plan.		
C	Does not include contribution to RMP, which may					_		g water.	
Program	Effectiveness Assessment and Improvement					-			
City	Develop metrics	2	5	\$	1,025	1			
County	Develop metrics	2	5	\$	1,025				
City	Identify data collection needs	2	6	\$	1,070				
County	Identify data collection needs	2	6	\$	1,070				
City	Develop PEA&I Plan	2	30	\$	5,030	1			
County	Develop PEA&I Plan	2	30	\$	5,030				
Notes:	Assumes LWA develops plan. Estimate can be lowe	red if City/C	ounty deve	lop pla		view	/assistance	from LWA.	
Fiscal In	pact and Funding Sources								
City	Existing Expenditures and Funding Analysis	3	12			\$	2,091		
County	Existing Expenditures and Funding Analysis	3	12			\$	2,091		
City	Future Funding Needs Analysis	3	19			\$	3,596		
County	Future Funding Needs Analysis	3	19			\$	3,596		
City	Funding Need and Options Tech Memo	3	26			\$	4,952	· · · · · · ·	
County	Funding Need and Options Tech Memo	3	26			\$	4,952		-

Yuba City and Sutter County Phase II Support Estimate

				Contract Year 1 Permit Year 2 Costs		Contract Year 2 Permit Year 3 Costs		Contract Year 3 Permit Year 4 Costs		Contract Year 4 Permit Year 5 Costs	
	Scope of Work	Permit Year	Total Hours								
General	Permit Compliance							2.5			
City	Public Outreach Strategy Review	2	8	\$	1,615						
County	Public Outreach Strategy Review	2	8	\$	1,615	-					
City	Construction Operator Outreach	3	8			\$	1,433				
County	Construction Operator Outreach	3	8			\$	1,433	1			
Notes:	Operator Outreach does not include the cost for dev	eloping a wo	rkshop		4						
City	Develop staff training strategy	2	6	\$	1,210		_				
County	Develop staff training strategy	2	6	\$	1,210			1			
City	Construction	2	19	\$	3,795				-		
County	Construction	2	19	\$	3,795						
City	Good Housekeeping	2	19	\$	3,375						
County	Good Housekeeping	2	19	\$	3,375			11			
City	Biennial refresher	4	10					\$	1,914		
County	Biennial refresher	4	10					\$	1,914		
City	IDDE	3	19		1000	\$	3,443				
County	IDDE	3	19			\$	3,443	11	-		
Notes:	Staff training for content development and delivery of	of combined	City/Cour	nty tr	aining for e	ach	component				
City	Review / Revise Spill Response Plan	2	8	\$	1,250	-					_
County	Review / Revise Spill Response Plan	2	8	\$	1,250						_
City	Process for SD Assessment and Prioritization	2	21	\$	3,875						
County	Process for SD Assessment and Prioritization	2	21	\$	3,875						-
City	Assistance with Annual Report	All	6	\$	1,070	\$	1,091	\$	1,113	\$	1,134
County	Assistance with Annual Report	All	6	\$	1,070	\$	1,091	\$	1,113	\$	1,134
Total Es	timated Costs For RFP Tasks			S	123,230	S	50,398	S	6,053	S	2,268

Yuba City and Sutter County Phase II Support Estimate

					ontract Year 1	-	ontract Year 2		ontract Vear 3		ontract Year 4
	Scope of Work	Permit Year	Total Hours	Permit Year 2 Costs		Permit Year 3 Costs		Permit Year 4 Costs		3	Permit Vear 5 Costs
Option	nal Task Identify in Phase II Permit Not Specific	ed in the RF	P								
	asks are submitted as optional tasks for consideration.			-			-			-	
	ts of these tasks have not be split to show City/County c	osts separatel	y	_	_	-		-		-	
Illicit I	Discharge Detection and Elimination (E.9)	-	-	-	-	-		-			_
	Assist development of facility assessment procedure (E.9.b)	2	35	\$	6,730						
Polluti	on Prevention/Good Housekeeping (E.11)		0					1			
	Develop facility assessment procedure (E.11.c)	3	31			\$	7,188	_			
	Assist to evaluate the results of assessments (E.11c)	3	35					\$	5,918		
	Develop SWPPP template for hot spot facilities (E.11d)	4	42							\$	7,590
	Develop quarterly inspection procedure (E.11.e)	5	14							\$	2,573
	Assist development of landscape design & maintenance program (E.11.j)	2	31	\$	5,150						
Total I	Estimated Costs for Optional Tasks			s	11,880	\$	7,188	s	5,918	s	10,162
	Estimated Costs for RFP and Optional Tasks			S 1	35,110	s	57,586	S I	1,970	s	12,431

LARRY WALKER ASSOCIATES

Rate Schedule Effective July 1, 2014 – June 30, 2015

PERSONNEL	Rate \$/Hour	REIMBURSABLE COSTS					
Principals	U IIIU	Travel:					
Tom Grovhoug	\$280.00						
Karen Ashby	\$260.00	Local mileage					
Ashli Cooper Desai	\$260.00	Transportation Actual expense					
Brian Laurenson	\$260.00	Auto rental Actual commercial rate					
Chris Minton	\$260.00	Fares Actual expense					
Mack Walker	\$260.00	Room Actual expense					
Wack Walker	\$200.00	Subsistence ⁽¹⁾ • \$ 48.00 per day					
Associates		The rate for each meal					
Denise Conners	\$235.00	as follows: (1)					
Betsy Elzufon	\$235.00	23 101043.					
Sandy Mathews	\$235.00						
Mitch Mysliwiec	\$235.00	Breakfast \$ 9.00					
Claus Suverkropp	\$235.00	Lunch \$13.00					
Claus Suverkropp	\$233.00	Dinner \$21.00					
Senior Staff		Incidentals \$ 5.00					
Kristine Corneillie	\$210.00						
Karen Cowan	\$210.00						
Diana Engle	\$210.00	Report Reproduction and Copying:					
Paul Hartman	\$210.00	 Actual outside expense 					
Gorman Lau	\$210.00	 \$0.08 per black and white copy, in-house 					
Amy Storm	\$210.00	 \$0.89 per color copy, in-house 					
Mike Trouchon	\$210.00	 \$1.95 per binding, in-house 					
Mike Houchon	φ210,00	- \$1.55 per binding, in-house					
Project Staff		Special Postage and Express Mail:					
Alina Constantinescu	\$185.00						
Mike Marson	\$185.00	 Actual expense 					
Giles Pettifor	\$185.00						
Hope M. Taylor	\$185.00	Other Direct Costs:					
Rachel Warren	\$185.00	Actual overcome					
Bryant Alvarado	\$165.00	Actual expense					
Reni Keane-Dengel	\$165.00						
Airy Krich-Brinton	\$165.00	Daily Equipment Rental Rates:					
Jeff Walker	\$165.00	 All single parameter field meters 					
Zachary Helsley	\$150.00	(pH, EC, D.O., Turbidity) \$25.00 each					
Steve Maricle	\$150.00	Multi-parameter field meters \$35.00					
Danielle Moss	\$150.00	Peristaltic Sampling Pump \$35.00					
Elizabeth Yin	\$150.00	 Professional grade GPS unit \$25.00 					
Michelle Benson	\$140.00	Digital Flow Meter \$45.00					
Kathryn Walker	\$140.00	Digital Fluorometer \$45.00					
Jennifer Bayley	\$135.00	Multi-parameter Data Sonde					
Greg Reide	\$105.00	(with telemetry)					
Amy Bonato	\$75.00	- first day \$200.00					
Mashon Jones		- each additional day \$ 40.00					
Allison Lewis	\$75.00 \$75.00						
Denise Parren	Contraction of the second s	Subcontractors:					
Adriana Stovall	\$75.00 \$75.00	Actual expense plus 10% fee					
Adriana Stovall	\$15.00						

Note: (1) Charged when overnight lodging is required.